

LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Division of Student Health and Human Services – Restorative Justice Discipline Foundation Policy: School-Wide Positive Behavior Intervention and Support Rubric of Implementation (ROI)



School Name: _____

Date: _____

	Key Feature	1	2	3	4	Score
1.	Administrative Leadership and Support	 Administrator(s) does not actively support the SWPBIS process. 	Administrator(s) supports the process but is not as active as the rest of the team.	At least one school administrator is a member of the SWPBIS / Discipline Review Team. SWPBIS is on the agenda at some faculty meetings. SWPBIS is addressed in some staff and parent newsletters. School data is reviewed during SWPBIS/Discipline Review Team meetings.	At least one school administrator is an active participant on the SWPBIS Discipline Review Team. Review school data during SWPBIS meetings with the Discipline Review Team Members. SWPBIS is on the agenda at all faculty meetings. SWPBIS is addressed in all staff, parent newsletters or school website, etc.	
2.	Team-Based Implementation	 No SWPBIS / Discipline Review Team is established. 	 A SWPBIS / Discipline Review team is established and meets at least 2 times per year. 	The SWPBIS/Discipline Review team has representation of all stakeholders (Administrator, General Education Teacher, Special Education Teacher, Classified Representative, Support Staff (e.g., Student Health and Human Services personnel, Parent, Student (High School Only) including grade levels, etc. The SWPBIS/Discipline Review team has regularly scheduled monthly meetings, and reviews discipline data.	The SWPBIS/Discipline Review team has representation of all stakeholders (Administrator, General Education Teacher, Special Education Teacher, Classified Representative, Support Staff (e.g., Student Health and Human Services personnel) Parent, Student (High School Only) including grade levels, etc. The SWPBIS/ Discipline Review team has regularly scheduled monthly meetings, and reviews discipline data. Agendas, minutes, sign-in sheets, action plans, etc. from each monthly meeting are memorialized. Each team member knows their role and responsibility as part of the team.	
3.	Behavioral Expectations Defined	 The school has more than six behavioral expectations. The expectations are negatively stated. 	 3 – 6 positively stated expectations are established and defined for some of the common areas. 	3-6 positively stated expectations are established and defined for all of the common areas. The expectations are clearly visible (posted) in most of the common areas. When asked, students, staff and families know the $3-6$ expectations.	3-6 positively stated expectations are established, defined and clearly visible (posted) in all of the common areas. When asked, students, staff and families know the $3-6$ expectations.	

Adapted from the work of: Sugai, Lewis-Palmer, Todd & Horner – School-wide Evaluation Tool; Johns & Patrick – the MODEL Program; & Florida's Positive Behavior Support Project – Benchmarks of Quality



LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Division of Student Health and Human Services – Restorative Justice



Discipline Foundation Policy: School-Wide Positive Behavior Intervention and Support

Rubric of Implementation (ROI)

	Key Feature	1 2				4		Score
4.	Behavior Expectations Taught	 No documented plan for teaching the expectations exist. Some staff may teach the expectations in their own classrooms. 	 Students are told what the expectations are. Some staff may teach the expectations in their own classrooms. 		There is a documented system for annually teaching the behavioral expectations, to all students (e.g., Behavior/Procedure Fair, homeroom/advisory lessons, assemblies, skits, Community Building Circles, Breakfast in the Classroom Check-ins, etc.), including introduction letter to parents and staff.		There is a documented system for annually teaching the behavioral expectations to all students (e.g., Behavior/Procedure Fair, homeroom/advisory lessons, assemblies, skits, Community Building Circles, and/or Breakfast In the Classroom Check-ins, etc.) including introduction letter to parents and staff. There is a documented system for ongoing review of expectations on weekly to monthly basis. The school has developed strategies to involve families/community with the teaching of the expectations.	
5.	Acknowledge and Reinforce Appropriate Behavior	There is not a consistent acknowledgment/ reinforcement system in place.	The documented acknowledgment/ reinforcement system (e.g., ticket, token, etc.) guidelines and procedures are implemented throughout the school by 50% of the staff.		The documented acknowledgment/reinforcement system (e.g., ticket, token, restorative practices, etc.) guidelines and procedures are implemented throughout the school by 75% of staff.		The documented acknowledgment/ reinforcement system (e.g., ticket, token, restorative practices, etc.) guidelines and procedures are implemented throughout the school by 90% of staff. A ratio of 4 positive for every one negative is in place; 4(+):1(-) ratio means acknowledging / reinforcing students' positive behavior at least 4 times more often than acknowledging students' misconduct.); When asked, staff can explain and describe examples of the implementation of 4:1	
6.	Monitor and Correct Behavioral Errors	 Problem behaviors are not clearly defined. The response to problem behavior is inconsistent. 	 Problem behaviors are clearly defined and agreed upon by a least 50% of school staff. There is an inconsistent process for what behavior is handled in the classroom and what is referred out (e.g., Dean, Counselor, AP, Restorative Justice staff, etc.) 		Problem behaviors are clearly defined, agreed upon by at least 75% of school staff and documented. At least 75% of school staff can clearly articulate the process for behavior handled in the classroom and behavior referred out (e.g., Dean, Counselor, AP, Restorative Justice staff, etc.). Use of alternatives to formal discipline (i.e., suspension), documented in MiSiS; reference Attachment D of the Discipline Foundation Policy: <u>Guide to Tier II</u> and Tier III Intervention Supports and Alternatives to Suspension.		Problem behaviors are clearly defined, agreed upon by at least 90% of school staff and documented. At least 90% of school staff can clearly articulate the process for behavior handled in the classroom and behavior referred out (e.g., Dean, Counselor, AP, Restorative Justice staff, etc.). There is evidence that consequences for "behavioral errors" are consistent, progressive, and communicated to all stakeholders. Use of alternatives to formal discipline (i.e., suspension), documented in MiSiS; reference Attachment D of the Discipline Foundation Policy: <u>Guide to Tier II and Tier III Intervention</u> <u>Supports and Alternatives to Suspension.</u>	

Adapted from the work of: Sugai, Lewis-Palmer, Todd & Horner – School-wide Evaluation Tool; Johns & Patrick – the MODEL Program; & Florida's Positive Behavior Support Project – Benchmarks of Quality



LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Division of Student Health and Human Services – Restorative Justice



Discipline Foundation Policy: School-Wide Positive Behavior Intervention and Support

Rubric of Implementation (ROI)

Key Feature	1	2	3	4	Score
7. Data Based Decision Making	MiSiS discipline data is not reviewed to make decisions.	MiSiS discipline data is reviewed but not used to make decisions.	 MiSiS is used to keep track of discipline data (office discipline referrals, suspension, opportunity transfer, expulsions). The SWPBIS/Discipline Review team uses MiSiS and other District data systems to make decisions in designing, implementing, and revising school-wide efforts at least 2 times per school year with an emphasis on targeted supports. MiSiS data is shared with school staff at least 2 times per school year. 	 MiSiS is used to keep track of discipline data (office discipline referrals, suspension, opportunity transfer, expulsions). The SWPBIS/Discipline Review team uses MiSiS data and other District data systems to make decisions in designing, implementing, and revising school-wide efforts at least 3 or more times per school year. The SWPBIS/Discipline Review team reviews MiSiS discipline data at their monthly team meetings with an emphasis on targeted supports. MiSiS data is shared with school staff at least 3 or more times per school year. 	
8. Family and Community Collaboration	 There is no family/community involvement in the school site SWPBIS system. 	 A family/community member is inconsistently part of the SWPBIS/ Discipline Review team. Updates on the SWPBIS efforts are communicated through newsletters, brochures, open house, parent meetings, etc. at least 2 times per school year. 	 A family/community member is an <u>active</u> member of the SWPBIS/ Discipline Review team. Updates on the SWPBIS efforts and data are communicated through newsletters, brochures, open house, parent meetings, etc. at least 5 times per school year. 	 A family/community member is a <u>consistent</u> member of the SWPBIS/Discipline Review team. Updates on the SWPBIS efforts and data are communicated through newsletters, brochures, open house, parent meetings, website, etc. at least 8 times per school year. 	

Rubric of Implementation progress approved by:

Principal (Signature)

Date

Date

SWPBIS/Discipline Review Team Member (Signature)

School Operations (Signature)

Date